

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. IVD 1087 CASELLAS 05/14/01 09/831,720 **EXAMINER** HM12/0725 n27546 OZGA, B SANOFI-SYNTHELABO INC. 9 GREAT VALLEY PARKWAY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 3026 1651 MALVERN PA 19355

DATE MAILED: 07/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Appliaction M		
		Application No	· 👅 :	Applicant(s)
İ	Office Action Summary	09/831,720		CASELLAS ET AL.
i Since Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit
ļ <u> </u>	The MAILING DATE SALE	Brett T Ozga		1651
i criou ic				
External from the control of th	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mi riod will apply and will expire	vever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
2a)□		This action is non-f	inal	,
3)	/-			
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i>	ormai matters, pro , 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖾	Claim(s) 16-41 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho	drawn from consider	ation.	. •
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 16-41 is/are rejected.	v	•	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			÷
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election require	ment.	
	on Papers	,		
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac		ed to by the Exam	niner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approve	ed b) disapprov	red by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			·
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			,
13)🛛 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).
	☑All b) Some * c) None of:		,	· · · · · ·
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived in Application	n No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the practical international left that attached detailed Office action for a life.	riority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 1	ive been received	in this National Stage
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application	on has been recei	ived.
15) Ac attachment(:	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 3	5 U.Ş.C. §§ 120 a	and/or 121.
) Notice) Notice) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 16-38, drawn to compositions and methods for treating cutaneous stress, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- II. Claims 39-41, drawn to microorganisms, classified in class 435, subclass 252.1.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are patentably unrelated, one is a composition, the other microorganisms.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Paul Dupont on 7/19/01 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 16-38. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 39-41 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16,17, 26, 27 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ormfa et al. (J. Ital. Derm., vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 325-9, 1970)

The instant application claims a topical composition for treating cutaneous stress containing as active principle a substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptors. It also claims methods for the treatment of cutaneous stress which comprises topically administering to a subject in need of such treatment an effective amount of a substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor.

Ormfa et al. teach alcoholic solutions of Nobrium (a derivative of a benzodiazepine). They also teach a topical composition for treating various dermatological disorders (including cutaneous stress) containing as active principle a substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptors. They also teach methods for the treatment of cutaneous stress which comprises topically administering to a subject in need of such treatment an effective amount of a substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor. (See p. 325, fifth paragraph, left column)

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Levy (A Psychosomatic Approach to the Management of Recalcitrant Dermatoses 4(6), Nov. 1963, 334-7).

The instant application claims the active principle as .001% to 10% by weight of the total composition weight.

Levy teach 2.5-10 mg of diazepam (p. 336, third paragraph) as the active principle, which comprises between .001-10% of the total weight of the composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ormfa et al in view of Levy and Bloom et al. (US 5614178).

The instant application claims a composition wherein the substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (PBR) is RO 5-4864, a method of treatment of cutaneous stress by administering an effective amount of the above substance and a method for reducing wrinkles which comprises topically

Art Unit: 1651

administering an effective amount of a substance produced by fermentation that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor.

Ormfa et al. teach alcoholic solutions of Nobrium (a derivative of a benzodiazepine). They also teach a topical composition for treating various dermatological disorders (including cutaneous stress) containing as active principle a substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptors. They also teach methods for the treatment of cutaneous stress which comprises topically administering to a subject in need of such treatment an effective amount of a substance that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor.

While Ormfa et al. and Levy do not expressly teach RO 5-4864, they do teach diazepam, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use chlorodiazepam, instead of diazepam because of structural similarity, unless a showing of unexpected results can be established.

Also, while Ormfa et al. and Levy also do not expressly teach a fermentation product, however, since it is the product itself and not the method of obtaining said product that is claimed, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a fermentation

Art Unit: 1651

product instead of a synthetic molecule, since the end result, an active principle that binds to the PBR, is the same.

Ormfa et al. and Levy do not teach hydroxy acids or retinoic acid as an additional ingredient in a composition for treating cutaneous stress.

Bloom et al. teach hydroxy acids and retinoic acid as an ingredient in a composition for treating cutaneous stress. (See Col. 5, lines 7-14.)

In light of the ingredients all having been taught as being useful for treating cutaneous stress, it would have been prima facie obvious to combine previously known compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a new composition to be used for the very same purpose (In re Kerkhoven, 626 f. 2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA,1980)).

Thus, in view of the cited references, the artisan of ordinary skill would have been motivated to have practiced the compositions and processes as recited in the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brett T Ozga whose telephone number is 7033050634. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 0530-1500, 2nd Wednesday Off.

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 7033084743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 7033084242 for regular communications and 7033053014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 7033080196.

BTO July 19, 2001

LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER